



Supply Chain

Learnings from Inventory Management System deployment

A practical guide to preparing for Inventory Management Systems (IMS) deployment, go-live, and benefits realisation

Purpose of this document

The aim of this document is to summarise the learning from previous IMS deployments, highlighting common risks and how to avoid them.

It has been created to provide a practical readiness guide for trusts, to support smoother mobilisation and confident go-live.



Overview of top 10 risks

The most common risks across trusts:

1. Poor data quality and inconsistencies, no Global Trade Item Number (GTIN) validation.
2. Trust recruitment and or readiness.
3. Variation in clinical workflows and engagement.
4. Unclear business case timelines.
5. Trust Senior Responsible Officer (SRO) and or Senior Leadership Team decision making.
6. Interface issues with eProcurement and catalogue systems.
7. Inconsistent training.
8. Change management challenges - storage, change champions.
9. Other projects clashing, draining resource.
10. Lack of benefits ownership.



How to avoid the top 10 risks

- Prioritise catalogue integration.
- Confirm interfacing requirements early.
- Recruit trust delivery team (crucial for embedding an IMS).
- Deliver User Acceptance Testing (UAT) for system awareness and training.
- Confirm consignment agreements.
- Conduct early Wi-Fi assessments.
- Validate catalogue and maximum and minimum stock levels and re-order levels early.
- Ensure trust sponsorship and change champions are in place.
- Embed clinical and operational workflows from initiation.
- Formalise benefits ownership and data management.
- Embed Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) and user guides.
- Implement Electronic Patient Record interface for improved patient safety.



Readiness preparations

What typically goes wrong, why it matters and what good looks like



Trust readiness

What typically goes wrong

- Project teams recruited late or under-resourced
- Lack of senior sponsorship (clinical, financial, operational)
- Competing programmes reduce capacity
- Unclear trust-owned deliverables
- Missing clinical engagement in the early programme

Why it matters

Trust readiness is the single biggest predictor of mobilisation speed and go-live confidence.

What good looks like

- Project and Business As Usual (BAU) teams in place before mobilisation, recognising additional workload to BAU teams, including dedicated systems administration resource
- Clear SRO level sponsorship across domains, supporting communications around the why and the benefits
- Early alignment on trust-owned deliverables (data, IT, comms, training)
- Shared understanding of governance and escalation routes
- Full team alignment and understanding their role in the programme delivery

Data readiness

What typically goes wrong

- Catalogue data incomplete or inaccurate
- Consignment and or stock levels not validated
- Stock audit activity delayed or repeated
- Unclear vendor data upload protocols
- Late discovery of interfacing requirements

Why it matters

Accurate and complete data is essential in the lead-up to go-live in any area, as it directly contributes to the overall success of the deployment.

Poor data increases the risk of duplicated effort and can ultimately compromise patient safety.

What good looks like

- Consignment, catalogue, location, and stock levels data validated early
- Standardised SOPs for key actions through IMS tracking activities
- GTIN validation and data management built into the plan
- Clear vendor guidance on data upload formats
- Early engagement with IT, Finance and Data teams

Technical readiness

What typically goes wrong

- Underestimated lead times for handheld connectivity
- WiFi instability affecting scanning
- Delays due to cyber security protocols
- Delays in user account creation and device set-up
- System set-up and configuration understanding

Why it matters

Technical readiness underpins operational readiness and delays cascade into testing, training, and go-live confidence.

What good looks like

- IT sponsorship for the programme
- DPIA and DTAC agreement to aid safe and secure integration
- Early WiFi assessment and mitigation as required
- Clear processes for access management and device set-up

Process readiness

What typically goes wrong

- As-is and or To-be processes not defined or agreed
- Lack of support for hyper-care, causing increased risk
- Manual workarounds for data inconsistencies and process
- Best-practice workshops perceived as too basic

Why it matters

This provides a solid base for the IMS to succeed and improving the process enhances patient safety.

What good looks like

- Standard SOPs for all core IMS processes
- Best-practice toolkits embedded into workshops
- Boots-on-the-ground implementation support and knowledge sharing
- Lessons learnt to identify learnings and improve future deployments

People, training and engagement

What typically goes wrong

- Training materials inconsistent or late
- Clinical staff missing sessions
- Inadequate vendor training quality
- Insufficient early clinical engagement
- No clarity around users and roles causes difficulties

Why it matters

Training quality directly affects adoption, error rates, and hyper-care demand.

What good looks like

- Clear training RACI (Responsible, Accountable, Consulted, Informed) matrix across trust, vendor, NHS Supply Chain.
- UAT for system training is a positive approach
- Structured standard and super user training for materials management (MatMan) and clinical teams
- Feedback, sign-off and quality assurance checks
- Early engagement with all teams and personnel involved

Governance and project planning

What typically goes wrong

- Ambiguous roles and responsibilities
- eProcurement and or catalogue system interface delays
- Inconsistent project plans
- Lack of business continuity planning
- Delays due to unclear business case processes

Why it matters

Strong governance reduces risk, accelerates decisions, and prevents scope drift.

What good looks like

- RACI embedded at project charter and task level
- Early tri-party pipeline sessions
- SMART tasks with supporting documentation
- Standard business continuity plans
- Data management and governance
- Clear escalation channels for decision making

Vendor and third-party dependencies

What typically goes wrong

- Vendors not attending meetings or responding promptly
- Inconsistent training quality
- Late interfacing issue notifications
- Unclear hyper-care responsibilities
- eProcurement System and third-party delays

Why it matters

Vendor performance directly affects timelines, quality, and trust confidence.

What good looks like

- Vendor participation in planning and kick-off
- Clear hyper-care expectations and Service Level Agreements (SLAs)
- Written clarity on data upload processes
- Logged responsiveness issues for escalation
- Early engagement with eProcurement and third-party system providers

Benefits realisation

What typically goes wrong

- Focus on go-live rather than benefits tracking
- Waste not consistently recorded
- Data not validated causing irregularities
- Lack of clarity on trust commitments

Why it matters

Benefits realisation underpins the value case and national reporting.

What good looks like

- Benefits requirements included in induction packs
- Standard waste recording templates used consistently
- Benefits realisation managers engaged early
- Formal commitments included in the project charter
- Benefits processes included in closure criteria

Any questions?

For more information about IMS please go to:

<https://www.supplychain.nhs.uk/ims>

You can also contact our IMS team at ims@supplychain.nhs.uk